

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

LITTIECE JONES,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:24-cv-11224

v.

Honorable Susan K. DeClercq
United States District Judge

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.,

Defendant.

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO COMPEL
DISCOVERY (ECF No. 32), DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE
PROPOSED REASONABLE DISCOVERY ACCOMODATIONS, AND
VACATING SCHEDULING ORDER (ECF No. 25)**

On March 18, 2025, Defendant Delta Air Lines, Inc. filed a motion to compel Plaintiff Littiece Jones to provide responses to specific interrogatories, produce documents responsive to Defendant’s requests for production, and sign medical-release authorizations attached to those requests. ECF No. 32 at PageID.549. On April 30, 2025, this Court held a hearing on Defendant’s motion. ECF No. 37.

For the reasons stated on the record during the hearing, Defendant’s Motion to Compel will be granted to the extent that Plaintiff must (1) provide responses to the interrogatories identified by Defendant in its motion to compel production, (2) produce documents responsive to Defendant’s requests for production, and (3) sign medical-release authorizations attached to those requests.

However, as discussed at the hearing, Plaintiff has requested accommodations to produce such discovery responses due to her purported disability. Plaintiff also has not yet appeared for an oral deposition and expressed a need for accommodations at her deposition, as well. So Plaintiff will be provided 30 days to propose reasonable accommodations for her discovery-production and deposition obligations. Defendant will be given an opportunity to respond to Plaintiff's proposed accommodations, and then this Court will determine whether Plaintiff's proposed accommodations are indeed reasonable and issue a new scheduling order at that time. As this Court explained during the hearing, Plaintiff is now on notice that if she does not propose reasonable accommodations within 30 days, her case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Defendant's Motion to Compel, ECF No. 32, is **GRANTED** to the extent Plaintiff must respond to Defendant's specified interrogatories and all requests for production.

Further, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiff is **DIRECTED** to send an e-mail to the Court and Defense Counsel **on or before May 30, 2025**, detailing her proposed discovery and deposition accommodations. Defendant may respond via e-mail to Plaintiff's proposed accommodations within 14 days of Plaintiff's initial e-mail. Plaintiff may reply via e-mail to Defendant's e-mail response within seven days of Defendant's response. Plaintiff is **NOTICED** that if she fails to submit proposed

discovery and deposition accommodations, this case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

Further, it is **ORDERED** that the Court's Phase I Scheduling Order, ECF No. 25, is **VACATED**. A new Phase I Scheduling Order will be issued after consideration of Plaintiff's proposed discovery and deposition accommodations.

This is not a final order and does not close the above-captioned case.

/s/Susan K. DeClercq
SUSAN K. DeCLERCQ
United States District Judge

Dated: May 2, 2025